

F. PAUL CALAMITA
PAUL@AQUALAW.COM

Рн: 804.716.9021 Fx: 804.716.9022

February 3, 2012

By Electronic Submission

Ms. Eurika Durr
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Clerk of the Board
Environmental Appeals Board (1103B)
Ariel Rios Building
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20460-0001

Re:

District of Columbia, Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System

Permit Number: DC0000221

Appeal Numbers: NPDES 11-05 and NPDES 11-06

Dear Ms. Durr:

Attached please find the District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority's and Wet Weather Partnership's Opposition to District Department of the Environment's Motion for Leave to Reply to DC Water's and Wet Weather Partnership's Response to DDOE's Additional Briefing and Board's Order to Show Cause.

Sincerely,

F. Paul Calamita

cc: Jennifer C. Chavez, Esq., Earthjustice

Rebecca J. Hammer, Esq., Natural Resources Defense Council

Irvin B. Nathan, Esq., Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Ellen Efros, Esq., Assistant Deputy Attorney General

Amy E. McDonnell, Esq./Alan Barak, Esq., District Department of the Environment

Kelly A. Gable, Esq., Assistant Regional Counsel, EPA Region III

Randy Hayman, Esq., DC Water, General Counsel

Gregory Hope, Esq., DC Water, Office of the General Counsel, Principal Counsel

BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENTAL APPEALS BOARD UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C.

In re:)
Government of the District of Columbia, Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System.) NPDES Permit Appeal Nos. 11-05 & 11-06
NPDES Permit No. DC0000221)))

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY'S AND WET WEATHER PARTNERSHIP'S OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO REPLY TO DC WATER'S AND WET WEATHER PARTNERSHIP'S RESPONSE TO DDOE'S ADDITIONAL BRIEFING AND BOARD'S ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

The District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority ("DC Water") and the Wet Weather Partnership (the "WWP") respectfully request that the Environmental Appeals Board (the "Board") deny District Department of the Environment's ("DDOE") Motion for Leave to Reply to DC Water's and WWP's Response to DDOE's Additional Briefing and Board's Order to Show Cause.

DDOE's Motion for Leave to Reply fails to provide any justification supporting the need to file a reply. DDOE simply indicates in the motion that the motion is timely, will help the Board to decide the case, and will not be prejudicial. DC Water and the WWP disagree that it will not be prejudicial, and DDOE provides no substantive basis to warrant the Board allowing a reply brief.

DDOE's proposed Reply restates a number of DDOE's positions. Beyond that, DDOE takes the position that the holdings in the cases¹ cited by DC Water are "narrow" and do not stand for the propositions asserted by DC Water. DDOE should not be allowed to reply, and thereby have the last word on these cases, particularly given DDOE's strategic decision not to raise these cases in its Response to Order Requiring Additional Briefing, filed on January 12, 2012. DDOE gives no explanation for its failure to address these cases in its previous briefing. The cases speak for themselves, and, accordingly, no additional briefing by DDOE is warranted. Contrary to DDOE's representation in its Motion for Leave to Reply, any such additional briefing will be prejudicial to DC Water.

Finally, DDOE's proposed Reply seeks to address the issue of the WWP's standing to appeal the Permit, in addition to DC Water's standing and its relationship with the District government. However, DDOE's November 17, 2011 Motion to Intervene in this matter has not been granted. Accordingly, DDOE is not a party entitled to challenge the WWP's standing. DDOE has no special relationship with the WWP to warrant DDOE's input on this issue unless and until it is made a proper party to the appeal. Even then, DDOE's opposition to the WWP's standing is clearly incorrect.

For the reasons stated above, the Board should deny DDOE's Motion for Leave to Reply to DC Water's and WWP's Response to DDOE's Additional Briefing and Board's Order to Show Cause. If, however, the Board grants DDOE's Motion, DC Water and the WWP should be permitted to file a response.

¹See Dingwall v. District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, 766 A.2d 974 (D.C. 2001); District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority v. Delon Hampton & Associates, 851 A.2d 410 (D.C. 2004); Zavala v. District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, No. 06-1864 (D.C. Super. Ct. May 31, 2006).

Dated: February 3, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY

By Counsel

F. Paul Calamita Carla S. Pool AquaLaw, PLC 6 South 5th Street Richmond, VA 23219 (804) 716-9021, ext. 201

Of Counsel:

Randy Hayman General Counsel District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority 5000 Overlook Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20032 (202) 787-2620

Gregory Hope Principal Counsel District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority 5000 Overlook Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20032 (202) 787-2657

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Opposition to District Department of the Environment's Motion for Leave to Reply to DC Water's and Wet Weather Partnership's Response to DDOE's Additional Briefing and Board's Order to Show Cause was filed electronically with the Environmental Appeals Board and was served by regular first class U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, this third day of February, 2012, upon the following:

Jennifer C. Chavez Earthjustice 1625 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Ste. 702 Washington, D.C. 20036

Rebecca J. Hammer Natural Resources Defense Council 1152 15th Street, N.W., Ste. 300 Washington, D.C. 20005

Irvin B. Nathan Attorney General for the District of Columbia 441 4th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20001

Ellen Efros Assistant Deputy Attorney General Civil Litigation Division 441 4th Street, NW, Suite 600 South Washington, D.C. 20001

Amy E. McDonnell Alan Barak Office of the Attorney General District Department of the Environment 1200 First Street, NE, Seventh Floor Washington, DC 20002 Kelly A. Gable, Assistant Regional Counsel Office of Regional Counsel EPA Region III 1650 Arch Street Mail Code 3RC 20 Philadelphia, PA 19103

F. Paul Calamita

BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENTAL APPEALS BOARD UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C.

In re:))
Government of the District of Columbia, Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System.) NPDES Permit Appeal Nos. 11-05 & 11-06
NPDES Permit No. DC0000221)) _)
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A RE WEATHER PARTNERSHIP'S RESPONS	PARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT'S PLY TO DC WATER'S AND THE WET SE TO DDOE'S ADDITIONAL BRIEFING RDER TO SHOW CAUSE
The District Department of the Environn	nent ("DDOE") has filed a Motion for Leave to
Reply to DC Water's and Wet Weather Partners	hip's Response to DDOE's Additional Briefing
and Board's Order to Show Cause.	
DDOE's motion is hereby DENIED .	
SO ORDERED.	
	ENVIRONMENTAL APPEALS BOARD
Dated:	Bv